

**DECLARATION OF ANDREW G. WATTERS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH
SERVICE (CCP sec. 418.10)**

I, Andrew G. Watters, declare as follows:

1. I am the Respondent in this action. I am over the age of eighteen and have personal knowledge of the matters stated in this declaration. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to them.
2. On August 17, 2026, a private process server visited my office and served me with the DV-100 only. He was holding what appeared to be a work order or cover sheet from an attorney service with instructions. He stated he was serving me on behalf of someone in San Francisco, and he asked me about a TV show that I had been on regarding one of my cases. I had a brief conversation with him before he left. I looked at the document he gave me and realized that he had not included the TRO or anything else—it was only the DV-100. He retained the cover sheet and left. I brought the actual packet I was served with to court on August 24, 2026 for examination.
3. After opposing counsel stubbornly questioned this, I pulled security footage from my Ring devices and was able to confirm that, in fact, the process server was only holding the DV-100 and the work order/cover sheet as he walked down the hallway toward my office. It appeared the process server was trying to hide the papers from view when he rang the bell to my office, but at several points he is clearly seen to be holding one document that is the correct size to match the DV-100. Here are two stills from the security footage clearly showing that the process server had a single document that is the size of the DV-100, plus a cover sheet that appears to have instructions:





4. I was only served with the DV-100. Despite this, the process server filed a proof of service claiming to have served me with the entire packet of documents including the TRO, Notice of Hearing, and other materials. I am not attributing ill will to the process server, but I do think he needs to be more careful about accuracy. In other words, this is simply a mistaken and inaccurate proof of service. I am seeking to quash service under CCP sec. 418.10 because I must insist on strict compliance with the law when my Constitutional rights are involved.

5. As of this writing, *I still have not been properly served with the papers*, although Mr. Tsivyan has tried to claim that electronic service has been made. The fact that I am able to copy or download papers from the court is not valid service. And summonses may not be served by email unless previously authorized by the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed August 31, 2026, at Redwood City, California.

Andrew G. Watters